



Welcome and thank you for joining us for today's Aviation Webinar Series. Our topic is **“Remote ID Rule: Approaching the Endgame!”** We have just a few announcements before we get started.

Please note that this presentation and all of the accompanying materials are protected by copyright, and that the entire presentation is being recorded. Also, please note the material presented by our speakers has been gathered for general informational purposes only.

No information presented in this presentation constitutes legal advice nor is it intended to be fact-specific. As there may be occasions where Fox Rothschild represents clients who may be adverse to your interests, discussion at this program cannot touch upon any fact-specific matters. Attendees should consult with knowledgeable legal counsel to determine how applicable laws pertain to specific facts and situations.

These materials are based on the most current information available. Since it is possible laws or other circumstances may have changed since this presentation, please consult with legal counsel to discuss any action you may be considering as a result of attending this program or reading these materials.

Attendance at this program and/or receipt of these materials is not intended to create, nor does it establish, an attorney-client relationship.



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Remote ID Rule: Approaching the Endgame!

What was the Public Reaction and How Will it Shape the Final Rule

Aviation Webinar Series

March 4, 2020

Presented By



Mark A. Dombroff
Partner, Fox Rothschild LLP

mdombroff@foxrothschild.com
Phone: (703) 248-7002



Mark McKinnon
Partner, Fox Rothschild LLP

mmckinnon@foxrothschild.com
Phone: (202) 794-1214



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Remote ID NPRM – Where are we Now?

- Published in the Federal Register on December 31, 2019
- FAA Docket No. FAA-2019-1100
- FAA denied multiple requests to extend the comment period
- Notice and Comment period closed March 2, 2020
- On March 1, 2020 there were 44,000 comments submitted
- On the last day, 9,000 comments were submitted
- Total number of Comments received was 53,113.



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

How does it compare with other rulemakings?

- Type of Certification of Unmanned Aircraft Systems NPRM (closes today)
 - [44 comments](#).
- Operation of Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems over People (Closed April 15, 2019)
 - [949 comments](#)
- Safe and Secure Operations of Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems (ANPRM Closed April 15, 2019)
 - [1,842 comments](#)
- Service Animal NPRM (Closes in a month)
 - [2,866 comments](#)
- Operation and Certification of Small Unmanned Aircraft Systems (Part 107 rulemaking)
 - [4,671 comments](#)
- Interpretation of the Special Rule for Model Aircraft (June 25, 2014 - Withdrawn April 11, 2019)
 - [33,849 comments](#)



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

AMA Approach to mobilizing comments

- AMA Comment (16 pages)
 - No distinction between VLOS and BVLOS
 - Traditional model aircraft are operated differently from modern quadcopter
 - Lack of a clear risk assessment for different types of aircraft invalidates the rulemaking
 - FAA underestimates the costs of compliance for hobbyists
 - Risk/Benefit analysis is flawed
 - Operations at a FRIA eliminate the need for remote identification
 - FRIA creation and renewal process is too limited
 - Registration changes are more costly than estimated and unnecessary for most model aircraft
 - Internet connectivity a problem in remote areas
 - Amateur-built aircraft should include all kit builds
 - Want a 10 year implementation period (ADS-B had 10 year implementation)
 - Special approval for events and competitions
 - Privacy is not sufficiently addressed
- Comments referencing the Academy of Model Aeronautics – 19,613



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Form Comments

- Template Comment on UAS Remote ID: 6,154
- Template Comment on UAS Remote ID: Registration – 1,018
- Template Comment on UAS Remote ID: Amateur-Built Aircraft – 1,016
- Template Comment on UAS Remote ID: Education – 417
- Template Comment on UAS Remote ID: Internet Connectivity – 399
- Template Comment on UAS Remote ID: FPV – 398
- Template Comment on UAS Remote ID: Law Enforcement – 45



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

DJI Approach to Mobilizing Comments

- **You Can Make a Difference**
- **Consult Resources and Colleagues Online**
- **A Short List of Steps**
 - Below are our own tips and suggestions for how to write and file your own effective comment. To summarize, your comment should at a minimum cover these things:
 - Who are you and what do you do (or want to do) with drones?
 - How are you impacted, and by which requirements or restrictions? Be specific.
 - What's a better or less burdensome way to achieve the same goal?
 - Answer the FAA's specific questions that affect you.
 - Don't forget to comment on the aspects you support.
- **Start Your Comment by Telling the FAA About Yourself and Your Use of Drones**
- **Explain the Impact of the Proposal on You**
- **Take Time to Understand the FAA's Goals, and Propose Better Solutions**
- **Look for the FAA's Direct Questions to You**
- **Don't Forget to Support Things You Like**



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

FPV Freedom Coalition Approach to Mobilizing Comments

- 750 comments submitted
- Individuals filed comments addressing their own concerns
- Attached FPV Freedom Coalition Organizational comments
- FPV Freedom Coalition comments 24 pages in length
- Addresses each FAA Question
- Comments on each rule
- Strong opposition to internet connectivity



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

General Comments about the FAA's Approach to the Remote ID Problem

- Citations to National Academies of Science and Engineering report into UAS integration claiming FAA is too risk averse
- Rejection of ARC recommendations
- FAA favoring big business interests over the common man and small business community
- Criminals and terrorists will simply use a fake ID/registration



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ARC Report

- 5,468 comments reference the 2017 ARC Report
- Claim deviation from ARC recommendations not warranted
- ARC proposal would have accomplished same result at lower costs
- ARC proposal permitted a path forward for hobbyists and legacy aircraft



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Internet

- About 14,000 comments addressed Internet connectivity issues
 - Ability to connect in rural areas
 - Ability to operate in an internet denied area after a disaster
 - Indoor operations affected by the manufacturing requirements
 - Fixed sites should not need an internet connection
 - Safest places to fly often have no connection
 - Traditional model aircraft don't have the electrical system to support internet connection



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Privacy

- About 11,000 comments addressed privacy issues
 - Personally identifiable information collected by USS
 - How much data will non-governmental entities be able to access
 - License Plate Analogy and risk of harassment
 - Access by state and local law enforcement
 - 4th Amendment
 - Tracking of individuals
 - Overall, the availability of the session ID seems to have kept the concerns to a minimum.



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

FRIA

- About 10,000 comments addressed operations in approved Identification Areas
 - Commercial operators generally open to FRIA, do not see them as an obstacle to more complex flights and BVLOS
 - Not widespread support among commercial operators for proposed phase out of FRIAs
 - Current recognized club sites should be grandfathered
 - Approval should last longer (10 years common theme)
 - Registration, internet and broadcast requirements should be relaxed or removed in these areas



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Registration

- 12,500 comments
- FAA understates the cost of compliance
- Individual registration is not required for hobbyists because they are not operating more than one aircraft at a time
- Individual registration unnecessary for operation at a FRIA
- Phony registration and Real ID issues with registration



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Limited Remote ID

- 5,800 comments addressed the issue specifically
- 400' set-off range is too restrictive
- Productive work requires greater separation from the aircraft
- Preference for broadcast instead of internet connection



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GPS and Indoor Operations

- 4,300 comments address GPS issues
- Manufacturer's requirements spill over into UAS operations not covered by FAA rules
- Most UAS meeting the requirements won't be capable of operating in a GPS denied environment
- Many model aircraft do not have GPS equipment



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Operation by Public Entities

- First responders and government entities need more flexibility in their aircraft and how they fly
 - GPS denied areas
 - Internet denied areas
- Additional security concerns with third parties knowing location of responders or responder aircraft
- “Public Aircraft Lite” option



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

UAS Service Suppliers

- 1,500 comments
- Criticize lack of detail in selection process
- Lack of detail in privacy protections
- Stronger protection for dissemination of flight information and commercially valuable information
- Request for a public option



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

ADS-B

- 1,480 comments
- About half advocate use of ADS-B as a remote ID solution
- Proposal for a UAS specific ADS-B solution
- Request for more flexibility for ADS-B use in larger UAS or UAS operating outside Class G airspace



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Means of Compliance

- 850 comments
- Asking for FAA to accept industry consensus standards
- ASTM standards
- Coordination with EASA and other international organizations
- Longer phase-in for requirements
- Burden shifting of compliance from operators to manufacturers by placing limits on what can be sold



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Manned Aircraft – A4A

- Apply to all UAS, regardless of size or purpose of flight;
- Provide data on UAS operations to the FAA's air traffic management (ATM) system and be available for other NAS users;
- Allow the identification and locating of UAS and UAS ground stations at all times;
- Protect against deactivation of the Remote ID system;
- Be performance-based;
- Cover operations no later than 2-years after the final rule issuance date;
- Establish a prohibition from interfering with existing electronic surveillance technologies used for manned aircraft;
- Maintain airspace priorities on a risk-based basis, giving manned aircraft the right-of-way;
- State that the operating rules do not change for UAS under the Remote ID;
- Enhance security by limiting the protections for UAS operator privacy;
- Ensure transparency by mandating the registration, marking, and serial number requirements to facilitate identification before and after an accident; and
- Create a fee mechanism for UAS to support the cost the operations are imposing on FAA for services.



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Industry groups - AUVSI

- Three Years Is a Reasonable Time for Mandatory Implementation
- The FAA should set performance requirements, rather than specifying particular solutions for remote ID compliance.
- FAA should help create an international standard
- Means of Compliance should be based on the specific CONOP
- Broadly Supports Making Operator Location Publicly Accessible, but the FAA Should Protect Confidential Information
- The FAA Should Also Emphasize that Interfering with UAS Operators Is Dangerous and Unlawful.
- Urges the FAA to Avoid Becoming Entangled in Issues of Spectrum Management
- The FAA Should Recognize Clear Encryption and Tamper Resistance Standards as a Baseline for Compliance
- The FAA Should Allow Community-Based Organizations to Apply for, and Renew, FAA-Recognized Identification Areas Beyond 12 Months
- The Responsibility for the Preparation and Execution of a UA Flight Should Remain with the Pilot in Command, and not a Take-Off Lock
- The FAA Should Provide More Information Regarding Its Rationale for Mandating the Provision of a Control Station's Barometric Pressure Altitude



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Invective comments

- Ridiculous 1,365
- Stupid 641
- Idiot 370
- Expletive 227
- Dumb 140
- Screw 119
- Foolish 109
- Crap 106
- Fools 92
- Idiotic 46
- Moron 38



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW



For UAS News and Analysis:

Follow us at our Plane-Ly Spoken blog:
<https://plane-lyspoken.foxrothschild.com>



Save the Date for the 2021 Aviation Symposium **February 2-4, 2020**

*The Ritz-Carlton Tysons Corner
McLean, VA 22102*



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Thank You

If you have any questions, please contact us:

Mark A. Dombroff
Fox Rothschild LLP

8300 Greensboro drive, Suite 1000
McLean, VA 22102

mdombroff@foxrothschild.com
Phone: (703) 248-7002

Mark McKinnon
Fox Rothschild LLP

1030 15th St. NW, Suite 380
Washington, DC 20005

mmckinnon@foxrothschild.com
Phone: (202) 794-1214



Fox Rothschild LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW